

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/441,539	11/16/1999	STEVEN G. APEL	99-40170-US	4490	
28977 75	590 - 11/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			BROWN, R	BROWN, RUEBEN M	
	IA, PA 19103-2921		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, 19103 2921		2611		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			a
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/441,539	APEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reuben M. Brown	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a need to be a common of the c	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>1 June 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-26 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-36</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,28 and 30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Bur	,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔯 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	D)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/19/2001</u>. 	6) Other:	•	-)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		·	

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Restriction requirement in the reply filed on 6/21/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 6/21/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 27-28 & 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon, (U.S. Pat # 3,919,479), in view of Fardeau, (U.S. Pat # 5,574,962) and Abrams, (U.S. Pat # 5,835,634).

Art Unit: 2611

Considering claim 27, the claimed method for correlating a first packet of a feature waveform from an unknown source with a second packet of feature waveforms from a known source, in order to associate a known source with an unknown source comprising:

'determining at least three correlation values by correlating features associated with a first and second packets reads on the disclosure of Moon, digitized segments (i.e., packets) of unknown TV and/or radio broadcast signals are compared (i.e., correlated to) digitized segments (i.e., reference signals) of known TV and/or radio broadcast signals, (see col. 5, lines 30-60 & col. 6, lines 1-25).

However, Moon does not discuss that the method correlates features using 3 frequency bands from the waveform. Nevertheless, Fardeau teaches a method for automatically identifying a radio or TV broadcast, including detecting embedded signals across a band of frequencies, (Abstract; col. 6, lines 21-41; col. 7, lines 1-5; col. 8, lines 41-52). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Moon with the feature of detecting features across a range of frequency bands, for the desirable improvement of more precisely identifying the waveform, as taught by Fardeau, col. 3, lines 17-45.

As for the additionally claimed feature of computing weighting values in accordance with the correlation features from the first and second packets and computing a distance value representative of the differences between the correlation values & weighted values, the

Art Unit: 2611

combination of Moon & Fardeau do not provide such a teaching. However, Abrams, which is also directed to image recognition, teaches using weighted differences of comparison values, (col. 5, lines 10-65 & col. 6, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Moon & Fardeau to utilize a weighting function to more accurately emphasize the difference in importance of various comparison values, as taught by Abrams.

Regarding the further claimed feature of then associating the unknown packets with known source packets based upon the calculated weighted distance value, Abrams teaches that using the Example Weight Table, a Net Bits Different is compared to a certain score, in order to determine if a successful match has been found, which meets the claimed subject matter, see col. 6, lines 26-66.

Considering claim 28, Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, standard deviation was a very well known technique in statistical analysis. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Moon, Fardeau & Abrams, using the standard deviation in the well-known benefit of determining the probability of first packet being successfully matched with the second packet, which is a more accurate function than simply using the weighted average of differences values.

Art Unit: 2611

Considering claim 30, Abrams teaches successfully associating a match if the Net Bit Different is less than or equal to a value, (i.e., threshold), which reads on the claimed subject matter, (col. 6, lines 37-40).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 31-36 are allowed over prior art of record. Considering claim 31, as pointed in the rejection of claim 27, the combination of Moon, Fardeau & Abram teach waveform identification using a plurality of weighted correlation values. However, prior art of record does not additionally teach or reasonably suggest the further claimed features of computing the Euclidean distance values (D(n-1)), representative of differences between the first and second packets of the first, second and third correlation values; determining at least fourth, fifth and sixth correlation values by correlating features from third and fourth packets, wherein the fourth correlation value is determined by correlating features associated with the first frequency band from the third and fourth packets, the fifth correlation value is determine by correlating features associated with the second frequency band from the third and fourth packets, and the sixth correlation value is determined by correlating features from the third and fourth packets;

Art Unit: 2611

computing a Euclidean distance (D(n)) representative of differences between the third and fourth packets from the fourth, fifth & sixth correlation values; updating the Euclidean distance value (D(n)), using the Euclidean distance values (D(n-1)); and associating the third packet with the known source in accordance with the updated Euclidean distance value (D(n)).

Considering claims 32-36, the instant claims depend from an allowed claim, and are therefore allowable at least for the same reasons.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A) Takahashi Teaches pattern matching using correlation values.
- B) Microsoft Computer Dictionary Definition of standard deviation.
- C) Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Definition of standard deviation.

Art Unit: 2611

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-6861 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-2399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Reuben M. Brown

WORE WERE THE TREET

I by M. Dra